Form revised: February 26, 2014

FISCAL NOTE FOR NON-CAPITAL PROJECTS

Department:	Contact Person/Phone:	CBO Analyst/Phone:
LEG	Lish Whitson/5-1674	N/A
	Eric McConaghy/5-1071	

Legislation Title:

A RESOLUTION identifying proposed Comprehensive Plan amendments to be considered for possible adoption in 2015 and requesting that the Department of Planning and Development and the Seattle Planning Commission review and make recommendations about the proposed amendments.

Summary of the Legislation:

This resolution identifies proposed amendments, or concepts for amendments, to the Comprehensive Plan, that the Council will consider for possible adoption in 2015. It also asks the Department of Planning and Development to consider additional proposed amendments in the context of ongoing projects, including the Seattle 2035 Comprehensive Plan update, planning for the University District, and planning to support low-impact development.

Background:

Every year the City Council may consider amendments to the City's Comprehensive Plan. Each spring the Council invites proposed amendments from the public and from City departments. In the summer the Council decides which of these proposed amendments it will consider for adoption the next year.

In 2015, the City Council will also be considering Seattle 2035, a State-mandated update to the Comprehensive Plan. This resolution seeks to align review of the proposed amendments received from members of the public and the Seattle 2035 process.

Please check one of the following:		
X	This legislation does not have any financial implications.	
	This legislation has financial implications.	
Other !	Implications:	

a) Does the legislation have indirect financial implications, or long-term implications?

Lish Whitson/Eric McConaghy LEG Comp Plan docket 2014-2015 FISC v1 July 8, 2014 Version #2

Since the purpose of the Comprehensive Plan is to shape the city in the long term, the amendments themselves may have long-term implications. One purpose of the review by the Department of Planning & Development called for in the resolution is to determine these implications.

- b) What is the financial cost of not implementing the legislation? None.
- c) Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department? The Department of Planning and Development and the Seattle Planning Commission are charged with implementing the legislation, and their Directors are aware of the legislation.
- d) What are the possible alternatives to the legislation that could achieve the same or similar objectives? None.
- e) Is a public hearing required for this legislation? A hearing will be held on the legislation.
- f) Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?
 No.
- g) Does this legislation affect a piece of property? Not directly.
- h) Other Issues: None.

List attachments to the fiscal note below: None.